Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs
EOEA No.: 13446
DOEA NO 73776
MEPA Analysi Briony Angus
Phone: 617-626-1089

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Street: MAPLE STRE	DOK FALLS
Municipality: KINGSTON	Watershed: STONY BROOK
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordin	idles. Lalliude. Alegaron
stimated commencement date: 5 - 6	Longitude: 70° 42' 38" W
Approximate cost:	Estimated completion date: C-OS
)*****	Status of project design:
	ASSOC. L.P.
funicipality: NORWOOD	POUP INC. IOU ACCESS ROAD
lame of Contact Person From Whom	State: MA Zip Code: 02062 Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained:
	LIT
"IT!/Agericy: COLLANT COOLLO	Street: ICX ACCESS ROAD
iunicipality: NORWOOD	
hone: 781-551-2722 F	ax: 731-551-3443 E-mail:
as this project been filed with MEPA befo	
as this project been filed with MEPA beforms any project on this site been filed with this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7) Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11 Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11 Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) entify any financial assistance or land them.	☐Yes Ore? ☐Yes (EOEA No) MEPA before? ☐Yes (EOEA No) Orequesting: ☐Yes ☐1.09) ☐Yes ☐1.11) ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐No No No No No No No No No N
as this project been filed with MEPA beforms any project on this site been filed with this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7) Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11 Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11 Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) entify any financial assistance or land transe agency name and the amount of funding	☐Yes ore? ☐Yes (EOEA No) MEPA before? ☐Yes (EOEA No) orequesting: ☐Yes 1.09) ☐Yes 1.11) ☐Yes ☐Yes No ☐Yes No ☐Yes No ☐Yes Orefrication and agency of the Commonwealth, including a gor land area (in acres):
as this project been filed with MEPA beforms as any project on this site been filed with this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7) Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11 Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11 Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) entify any financial assistance or land transpage agency name and the amount of funding	☐Yes ore? ☐Yes (EOEA No) MEPA before? ☐Yes (EOEA No) orequesting: ☐Yes 1.09) ☐Yes 1.11) ☐Yes ☐Yes No ☐Yes No ☐Yes No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐ No ☐ Safer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including g or land area (in acres): ☐ Any other federal, state, regional, or local agency?

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):

Revised 10/99

Comment period is limited. For information call 617-626-1020

☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	Rare Spec Wastewate Air Regulation	s [Transporta Solid & Ha	zardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
Total site acreage New acres of land altered	13,4	4.8		Order of Conditions Superseding Order of Conditions Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	0.9	2.0	2.9	☐ 401 Water Quality
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		4,800		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		23,383		☐ Water Management Act Permit
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		☐ New Source Approval ☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
STRU	JCTURES			Other Permits
Gross square footage	20,000	74, 287	94,287	(including Legislative
Number of housing units	0	87	87	Approvals) - Specify:
Maximum height (in feet)	20	20	40	
TRANS	PORTATION			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Vehicle trips per day	5	145	150	
Parking spaces	20	241	261	
WATER/W	ASTEWATE	R		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	75	6,015	6020	
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	6,090	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	75	6,015	4,090	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0.24	0.24	
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project site Rare Specify CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project site Specify Will it involve the release of any conserestriction, or watershed preservation restriction, or watershed preservation restriction.	rvation restriction restriction?	e 97?) n, preservatio) ted Habitat of	No on restriction, a No Rare Species	agricultural preservation

HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of Historic and His
in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth [Property of Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth of the Archaeological Assets of the Archaeological As
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or doctoral.
resources?
LIYes (Specify) No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL COMPTEN
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
☐Yes (Specify) No
BB 4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

A. Project Description

Stony Brook Falls proposes to combine three land parcels for the purpose of construction senior housing. The site contains an old mill building foundation, along with a pond and waterfall leading out to a tidal river. Portions of the site have been contaminated with fuel oil from an oil distributorship which has been active since 1917 and which was abandoned over a dozen years ago. The town of Kingston has voted to re-zone the property for residential use and has granted all zoning, planning and conservation permits necessary to construct the proposed project. Advantages of the project to the town and region include (1) cleanup of a 21-E site, (2) provision of new parkland for the enjoyment of residents and citizens of the town, (3) contribution of significant funds toward the construction of a Senior Center for the town of Kingston, (4) returning abandoned and derelict property to the tax rolls, (5) construction of senior housing in the downtown region of Kingston, consistent with the state's "smart growth" guidelines and enabling seniors to walk to post office, bank, pharmacy, food stores and restaurants, and (6) provision of affordable housing (10%) for seniors in need of subsidized housing.

B. On-site and Off-site Alternatives

The unique location of the site in the downtown region of Kingston cannot be duplicated by any off-site alternatives. Impacts include removal of two derelict buildings, removal of unheated and unused fire station, conversion of mill building foundation to housing use, removal of oil contamination to DEP standards, and creation of parkland. On-site alternatives considered for the contaminant removal include (a) capping the location of the oil, and venting the volatile hydrocarbons on-site, (b) injecting the soil with peroxide and permanganate to oxidize and neutralize the oil contamination, and (c) physical removal of the contaminated soil, replacement with clean fill, and monitoring the subsequent groundwater levels for nine months. Alternative (c) is considered the best solution, although not the most inexpensive. It is this alternative which has been submitted to DEP as part of a RAM plan.

C. Potential On-site and Off-site mitigation measures

It is proposed that the solid waste generated by the demolition of the buildings and structures be crushed and re-used as solid fill to partially replace the void created by removal of the contaminated soil. Specifically, oil storage tank cradles formed by thick vertical slabs of concrete will be demolished, crushed to acceptable thickness, and used as structural fill. The fire station walls of brick will likewise be demolished, crushed, and re-used. This method will reduce the need to transport significant amounts of solid waste (concrete and brick) from the site.

Work near and in the historic riverfront area and in the wetlands has been mitigated by proposing wetland replication in excess of the areas which must be filled. Creating new parkland land in the location of the former fire station returns the riverfront area to natural vegetated cover where formerly asphalt and building footprint were located.